

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,177	07/14/2005	Satochi Futami	4662-8	5594
	7590 06/12/2007	EXAMINER		
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			MCCLENDON, SANZA L	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/530,177	FUTAMI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sanza L. McClendon	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer and the correction is objected to by the Examiner and the correction of the original transfer and the correction of	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/530,177 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said

Art Unit: 1711

subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-3 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Takase et al (2003/0021943 and 6,710,097).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Takase et al sets forth compositions for optical parts curable by actinic radiation. Said cured compositions have a refractive index of 1.53 or more, preferably 1.54 or more; at least one peak/shoulder at a temperature range between –150 to 100 0C, in a temperature dependence curve of a loss tangent obtained from a temperature dependence measurement of dynamic visoelasticity (see figures and column 9), and a modulus which is deemed to encompass the claimed modulus in the range of .5 kg/mm2 and 30 kg/mm2 (4.9 MPa to 294.9 Mpa, wherein per examples 2 and 3 the modulus of elasticity is 5 to 6 kg/mm2 (49 and 58 MPa, respectively).

The composition comprises a urethane (meth) acrylate having a polyether polyol backbone, a mono-functional (meth) acrylate compound, and photoinitiator. Said urethane is obtained by a reaction between a polyether polyol, an organic diisocyanate, and a hydroxyl containing (meth) acrylate monomer. In addition, composition can further comprise polyfunctional (meth) acrylic and other monomers, additives, heat initiators, other curable polymers and the like. The urethane polyether (meth) acrylate can be found in amounts from 20 to 80—wt%. Said mono-functional (meth) acrylate can be found in amounts from 10-70—wt%. The photoinitiator can be found in amounts from 0.01 to 10—wt%. Per examples, it appears that the amount of polyfunctional (meth) acrylate compounds can be used in amounts up to about 20—wt% (this is from the combination of E3 to E5 in example 5). Per column 7, lines 45 and example 4, Takase et al teaches a dipentaerythritol hexa (meth) acrylate as a useable polyfunctional polymer. Thus claim is anticipated.

While Takase et al does limit the composition to using polyfunctional (meth) acrylates having 4 or more functional groups, it is deemed that the disclosure of the (hexa) methacrylate compound in column 7 anticipates this limitation and because the polyfunctional compounds are disclosed as useable in the composition it is deemed that these are equivalents and thus the combination of polyfunctional materials anticipates the 5-25-wt% limitation. In addition, because they are disclose as equivalents it would have been within the skilled artisans level to use up to 22-wt% of the disclosed hexa-functional (meth) acrylate compound. In the alternative, the examiner deems that (meth) acrylates having 4 or more functional

Application/Control Number: 10/530,177

Art Unit: 1711

groups would have been obvious to a skilled artisan to use 4 or higher polyfunctional (meth) acrylates because of functionality since it is known that analogs have similar reactivity.

Page 4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 5-6, and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Duecker et al (5,881,194; 6,122,428; and 6,449,413).

Duecker et al teaches optical fiber matrix material compositions. Said

Compositions comprise a from 35 to 98 by weight of a urethane polyether (meth) acrylate, from 0.5 to 35 wt% of a polyfunctional (meth) acrylate compound, from 0.5 to 20—wt% of a mono-functional alkyl acrylate compound, and from 0 to about 10-wt% of a photoinitiator. Said polyether polyurethane acrylate is preferably modified with silicone along the backbone. The polyfunctional acrylates are found in column 4, wherein methacrylate compounds having 4 or more acrylate groups can be found. The alkyl methacrylate compounds can be found in column 5. The photoinitiators can be found in column 6. Other compounds include adhesion-controlling agents and stabilizers. Per examples 2-3 and 12-13, Duecker et al teaches compositions having a modulus within the claimed range as found in claim 6.

It appears claims 1, 5-6 and 8-9 are anticipated by the reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said

Art Unit: 1711

subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takase et al (as found above) in view of Yamashita et al (2003/0021943 and 6,710,097).

Takase et al does not expressly teach using triphenyl phosphine in the composition for optical parts. However, Takase et al teaches as an additive that plasticizers can be added. It is well known in the optical part art to use plasticizers to UV curable compositions such as, triphenyl phosphine to improve adhesion of the cured compositions. Such as suggested by Yamashita et al. Yamashita et al teaches UV curable compositions for making Fresnel lens. Said compositions comprise a urethane acrylate compound, reactive diluents (mono- and polyfunctional) and a photoinitiator. Yamashita et al teaches plasticizers can be added to such compositions for improving adhesion in amounts from 3 to 15—wt%. In addition, it is disclosed that triphenyl phosphine is preferable because it has a high refractive index—see column 6. Therefore, the examiner deems that it would have been obvious to use a plasticizer such as triphenyl phosphine as suggested by Yamashita et al in the compositions of Takase et al. The motivation would have been a reasonable expectation of obtained an optical part having good adhesion to a substrate and a high refractive index in the absence of evidence to the contrary. Takase et al and Yamashita et al are analogous art, that is the art of optical parts with high refractive indices from UV curable compositions.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sanza L. McClendon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1074. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/530,177

Art Unit: 1711

Sanza L McClendon

Page 6

Examiner

Art Unit 1711

SMc